

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. | |
|-----------------|-----------------|----------------------|-------------------------|-------------------------|--|
| 09/768,996 | 01/24/2001 | Jeffrey T. Harvey | 111-005 | 4188 | |
| 20874 | 7590 12/05/2002 | | | | |
| | | | EXAM | INER | |
| SUITE 400 | SALINA STREET | | TRAN A, Pl | TRAN A, PHI DIEU N | |
| SYRACUSE | , NY 13202 | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER | |
| | | | 3637 | | |
| | | | DATE MAILED: 12/05/2002 | DATE MAILED: 12/05/2002 | |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| | | A | | <u>></u> |
|---|--|---|---|-------------|
| | • | Application No. | Applicant(s) | - |
| | Office Action Summer | 09/768,996 | HARVEY, JEFFREY T. | |
| | Office Action Summary | Examiner | Art Unit | |
| | The MAN INC DATE AND | Phi D A | 3637 | |
| Period fo | The MAILING DATE of this communicat or Reply | ion appears on the cover sh | eet with the correspondence address | |
| THE N - Exter after - If the - If NO - Failui - Any r | ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICA sions of time may be available under the provisions of 3 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communic period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) da period for reply is specified above, the maximum statuto re to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, eply received by the Office later than three months after the dispatch term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). | TION. 7 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, ation. 1ys, a reply within the statutory minimur period will apply and will expire SIX (by statute, cause the application to be | may a reply be timely filed n of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. 6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communications ARANDONED (35.U.S.C. & 133) | on. |
| 1)🛛 | Responsive to communication(s) filed | on <u>04 September 2002</u> . | · | |
| 2a)⊠ | This action is FINAL . 2b) | ☐ This action is non-final. | | |
| 3) Dispositi | Since this application is in condition for closed in accordance with the practice on of Claims | r allowance except for forma under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 193 | al matters, prosecution as to the merits 85 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. | sis |
| 4)🛛 | Claim(s) 13-17 is/are pending in the ap | plication. | | |
| • | 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are v | vithdrawn from consideratio | n. | |
| 5) | Claim(s) is/are allowed. | | | |
| 6)⊠ | Claim(s) <u>13-17</u> is/are rejected. | | | |
| 7) | Claim(s) is/are objected to. | | | |
| 8)[| Claim(s) are subject to restriction | and/or election requiremen | nt. | |
| | on Papers | · | | |
| 9) 🔲 🗆 | The specification is objected to by the Ex | kaminer. | | |
| 10) 🔲 🗆 | Fhe drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)[| ☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to | by the Examiner. | |
| | Applicant may not request that any objection | | | |
| 11) 🔲 7 | The proposed drawing correction filed or | ı is: a)□ approved b |) disapproved by the Examiner. | |
| _ | If approved, corrected drawings are require | • • | | |
| 12) 🔲 7 | The oath or declaration is objected to by | the Examiner. | | |
| Priority u | nder 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120 | | | |
| 13)□ | Acknowledgment is made of a claim for | foreign priority under 35 U. | S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). | |
| a)[| ☐ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of: | | | |
| | 1. Certified copies of the priority doc | uments have been received | l. | |
| | 2. Certified copies of the priority doc | uments have been received | in Application No | |
| | Copies of the certified copies of the application from the Internation for the attached detailed Office action fo | nal Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2 | been received in this National Stage (a)). | |
| | cknowledgment is made of a claim for d | · | | tion) |
| a) 15)∐ A | ☐ The translation of the foreign languation of the foreign languation.cknowledgment is made of a claim for d | age provisional application h | as been received. | uonj. |
| Attachment | ` ' | | | |
| 2) Notice 3) Inform | e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-9 nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper | 948) 5) 🔲 Not | rview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)ce of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) er: | |
| S. Patent and Tra TO-326 (Rev | 04.04 | Office Action Summary | Part of Paper No | o. 4 |

Application/Control Number: 09/768,996

Art Unit: 3637

Page 2

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 2. Claim 13 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Chen (5904015).

Chen shows a raised load bearing floor system having a plurality of spaced apart support pedestals (20), the pedestal having coplanar horizontally disposed top surfaces, a plurality of grates (10) being mounted upon the pedestals such that each grate is supported at each of its corner upon one of the pedestals to establish a raised load bearing sub floor over said terrace, paving blocks (60) mounted in an interlocking relationship upon the grates to establish an upper floor, the area between the pedestals being substantially greater than the surface area of the paving blocks, the blocks being fabricated of a material capable of sustaining heavy traffic without appreciable wear.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- Claim 14 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Chen (5904015).
 Chen shows all the claimed limitations except for the grates being rectangular.

Application/Control Number: 09/768,996

Art Unit: 3637

It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify Chen's structure to show the grates being rectangular because rectangular, square, triangle etc... are all well-known supporting grate structural shapes.

5. Claims 15-16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Chen (5904015) in view of Faulkner (5363614).

Chen shows all the claimed limitations except for the pedestals being of high density foam, or polystyrene.

Faulkner discloses high density foam polystyrene.

It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention modify Chen to show the pedestals being of high density foam, or polystyrene because it has been held to be within the general skill of a worker in the art to select a known material on the basis of its suitability for the intended use as a matter of obvious design choice. In re Leshin, 125 USPQ 416.

6. Claim 17 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Chen (5904015) in view of Focht(re 20872).

Chen shows all the claimed limitations except for a geotextile material located between the paving blocks and the grates.

Focht shows a sheet of protective material between the top of the grates and the paving blocks to provide cushion for the floor

It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify Chen to show a geotextile material located between the paving blocks and the grates because it would provide cushion to the floor as taught by Focht.

Response to Arguments

7. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 13-17 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Conclusion

8. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. The prior art shows different floor structures.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Phi D A whose telephone number is 703-306-9136. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday.

Application/Control Number: 09/768,996

Art Unit: 3637

Page 5

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Lanna Mai can be reached on 703-308-2486. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-872-9326 for regular communications and 703-872-9327 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-1113.

Phi Dieu Tran A December 2, 2002

> LANNA MAI SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 3600

lamama